

2022  
ANNUAL  
REPORT



TRUE.  
BLUE.  
TRANSITION.

## 4 FINANCIAL INFORMATION 2022

- The effect of credit risk does not dominate the value changes resulting from that economic relationship.
- The hedge ratio of the hedging relationship is the same as that used for risk management purposes.

All derivative instruments are recorded and disclosed in the statement of financial position at fair value. Purchases and sales of derivatives are accounted for at trade date. Where a portion of a financial derivative is expected to be realized within twelve months of the reporting date, that portion is presented as current; the remainder of the financial derivative as non-current.

Changes in fair value of derivatives designated as cash flow hedge relationships are recognized as follows:

- The effective portion of the gain or loss of the hedging instrument is recorded directly in other comprehensive income, and the ineffective portion of the gain or loss on the hedging instrument is recorded in the income statement. The gain or loss which is deferred in equity, is reclassified to the net income in the period(s) in which the specified hedged transaction affects the income statement.
- The changes in fair value of derivative financial instruments that do not qualify as hedging in accounting standards are directly recorded in the income statement.

The sources of hedge ineffectiveness are:

- The non-occurrence of the hedged item;
- The change in the principal terms of the hedged item;
- The severe change of the credit risk of the Company and, or the derivative counterparty.

When measuring the fair value of a financial instrument, the Company uses market observable data as much as possible. Fair values are categorized into different levels in a fair value hierarchy based on the inputs used in the valuation techniques. Further information about the fair value measurement of financial derivatives is included in note 4.3.27 Financial Instruments – Fair Values and Risk Management.

### (f) Provisions

*Provisions* are recognized if and only if the following criteria are simultaneously met:

- The Company has an ongoing obligation (legal or constructive) as a result of a past event.
- It is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation.
- The amount of the obligation can be reliably estimated; provisions are measured according to the risk assessment or the exposed charge, based upon best-known facts.

*Demobilization* provisions relate to estimated costs for demobilization of leased facilities at the end of the respective lease period or operating life.

*Warranty* provisions relate to the Company's obligations to replace or repair defective items that become apparent within an agreed period starting from final acceptance of the delivered system. These assurance-type warranties are provided to customers on most Turnkey sales. These provisions are estimated on a statistical basis regarding the Company's past experience or on an individual basis in the case of any warranty claim already identified. These provisions are classified as current by nature as it coincides with the production cycle of the Company.

*Other* provisions include provisions like commercial claims, regulatory fines related to operations and local content penalty. In relation to local content penalty, Brazilian oil and gas contracts typically include local content requirements. These requirements are issued by the Agência Nacional do Petróleo, Gás Natural e Biocombustíveis (ANP) to the winning concessionaire/consortia of auctioned Brazilian exploratory blocks or areas at the end of the bidding round, with the intention to strengthen the domestic Brazilian market and expand local employment. The owning concessionaire/consortia normally contractually passes such requirements on to, among other suppliers, the company delivering the FPSO. For the Company's Brazilian contracts, the Company assesses the execution strategy and may decide that execution of the project in locations other than Brazil is more beneficial. Such a decision takes into account factors such as optimization of overall cost of delivery, quality and timeliness. As a result, following the chosen execution strategy, the Company may expect to not meet entirely the agreed local content requirements. In such circumstances, the expected penalty to be paid, as a result of not meeting the local content requirements, is determined based on management's best estimate and recognized as provision during the construction period. The corresponding cost is expensed over the construction period of the asset.